

AD-A096 068

LEHIGH UNIV BETHLEHEM PA MATERIALS RESEARCH CENTER

F/G 11/9

MECHANISMS OF FATIGUE DAMAGE AND FRACTURE IN SEMI-CRYSTALLINE P--ETC(U)

JAN 81 P E BRETZ, R W HERTZBERG, J A MANSON

N00014-77-C-0633

TR-7

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 of 1  
AD-A  
068 068



AD A096068

FILE COPY

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

LEVEL II

12

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report #7	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A096068	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) 6 Mechanisms of Fatigue Damage and Fracture in Semi-Crystalline Polymers		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 9 Interim Technical Report
6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER		
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 P. E./Bretz, R. W./Hertzberg <del>and</del> J. A./Manson		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 13 N00014-77-C-0633
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Materials Research Center Lehigh University Bethlehem, PA 18015 12 30		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 356-670
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy Street 14 TP-7		12. REPORT DATE 30 January 1981
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 23 pages
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)  DTIC MAR 0 6 1981		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  Submitted to <u>Polymer</u> E		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Polyamides                      Crystalline Polymers Polyacetal                      Fatigue Poly(vinylidene fluoride)      Mechanisms of Fracture Fracture		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Fatigue crack profiles and fracture surfaces of poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF), nylon 66 (N66), and poly acetal (PA) were studied to ascertain the mechanisms of cyclic damage and fatigue crack propagation in semi-crystalline polymers. Crack tip damage is believed to begin as small trans-spherulitic and interspherulitic tensile crazes. However, compressive yielding within the reverse plastic zone at the crack tip crushes and elongates the spherulites in the direction of crack growth. Consequently, the microstructure of the polymer in advance of the crack front is very different from the original		


DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE  
S/N 0102-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (continued)

morphology of the spherulitic bulk material as evidenced by the resulting fracture surface appearance. When the test temperature is below the glass transition temperature, however, plastic deformation is limited, and fatigue fracture occurs before significant disruption of the spherulitic structure. In this case, the fracture surface morphology reflects the original microstructure of the bulk polymer.



OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-77-C-0633

Task No. NR 356-670

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 7

MECHANISMS OF FATIGUE DAMAGE AND FRACTURE  
IN SEMI-CRYSTALLINE POLYMERS

by

P. E. Bretz, R. W. Hertzberg and J. A. Manson

Prepared for Publication

in

Polymer

Materials Research Center

Lehigh University

Bethlehem, Pa.

January 30, 1981

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose  
of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale;  
its distribution is unlimited.

81 3 06 060

# MECHANISMS OF FATIGUE DAMAGE AND FRACTURE

## IN SEMI-CRYSTALLINE POLYMERS

P. E. Bretz,<sup>1</sup> R. W. Hertzberg<sup>2</sup> and J. A. Manson<sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

Fatigue crack profiles and fracture surfaces of poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF), nylon 66 (N66), and poly acetal (PA) were studied to ascertain the mechanisms of cyclic damage and fatigue crack propagation in semi-crystalline polymers. Crack tip damage is believed to begin as small trans-spherulitic and interspherulitic tensile crazes. However, compressive yielding within the reverse plastic zone at the crack tip crushes and elongates the spherulites in the direction of crack growth. Consequently, the microstructure of the polymer in advance of the crack front is very different from the original morphology of the spherulitic bulk material as evidenced by the resulting fracture surface appearance. When the test temperature is below the glass transition temperature, however, plastic deformation is limited, and fatigue fracture occurs before significant disruption of the spherulitic structure. In this case, the fracture surface morphology reflects the original microstructure of the bulk polymer.

<sup>1</sup>Formerly Research Assistant, Lehigh University and currently Engineer, Alcoa Technical Center, Alcoa Center, PA 15069.

<sup>2</sup>Materials Research Center, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA 18015

## Introduction

When a fatigue crack propagates through a solid, it may generate a fracture surface that reflects the underlying bulk microstructure. Consequently, the fracture surface appearance of a semi-crystalline polymer under certain test conditions may closely reflect the spherulite morphology of this material. Since the fatigue crack is preceded by a zone of intense plastic deformation, however, the spherulite structure may be significantly altered by cyclic damage occurring within this zone prior to fracture. As a result, the morphology of the damage zone through which fracture occurs may be quite different from the bulk spherulite structure. It is necessary, therefore, to consider the manner by which a spherulitic structure deforms.

The tensile deformation of polymer spherulites has received considerable attention including several reviews<sup>1-5</sup> as well as numerous experimental investigations<sup>6-12</sup>. It is generally agreed that initial damage takes place by deformation of the spherulites, followed by the transformation of spherulites into fibrils which are themselves subsequently elongated. Several authors have noted that the earliest damage takes place by cavitation in interlamellar regions within the spherulites<sup>1,7-9,11</sup>, primarily in the equatorial plane perpendicular to the stress axis. Other studies have observed interspherulitic cavitation in addition to trans-spherulitic void formation<sup>2-5,10,12</sup>. The actual structure of these void regions is not clear, but numerous studies have reported crazes in semi-crystalline polymers<sup>5,7-10,12</sup>. In light of the small deformations at which this cavitation occurs and the unlikelihood of actual fracture at such low strains, the interpretation of void regions as crazes seems justified.

Several studies have also examined fatigue-induced damage and fracture. Kausch<sup>4</sup> observed density fluctuations in PA resulting from cyclic loading and interpreted these fluctuations as reflecting the presence of inter-lamellar microvoids perpendicular to the load axis. In this same study, Kausch proposed a model for subcritical crack growth in semi-crystalline polymers which assumed both inter and trans-spherulitic crazing ahead of the crack tip. Andrews and Walker<sup>13</sup> observed trans-spherulitic fracture in low density polyethylene at low crack growth rates and secondary inter-spherulitic cavitation around the crack plane. It appears, therefore, that cyclic damage in semi-crystalline polymers is related to cavitation within and around the spherulites.

When comparing the consequences of tensile versus cyclic loadings, it is not clear whether the deformations that occur within the plastic zone at the crack tip during cyclic loading are comparable to deformations produced in a tensile test under monotonic loading conditions. Regarding the fatigue crack tip plastic zone, a zone of monotonic tensile plastic deformation can be identified ahead of the fatigue crack tip (Figure 1a). Within this zone, one finds a smaller volume of material which experiences compressive loads equal to the specimen yield strength (Figure 1b). This region of reverse plasticity is created when the applied load decreases to the minimum tensile value; to maintain a stress balance along the crack plane, the crack tip region must go into compression<sup>14,15</sup>. Therefore, the material at the crack tip undergoes alternating tensile and compressive yielding. The effect of this load history on the spherulite morphology of a semi-crystalline polymer is unknown. For this reason, an examination of the crack tip region in several semi-crystalline polymers was undertaken to ascertain the effect of cyclic damage on the spherulite structure.




Figure 1 Crack tip stress distribution at  
a) maximum load, b) minimum load.



### Experimental Procedure

The specimens examined in this study had been previously used to characterize the fatigue crack propagation (FCP) behavior of several polymers, including nylon 66 (N66)<sup>16-19</sup>, poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF)<sup>19</sup>, and poly acetal (PA)<sup>19-20</sup>. In the case of N66, a series of specimens were equilibrated at various levels of absorbed moisture prior to fatigue testing<sup>16-19</sup>. All tests were conducted at room temperatures at a sinusoidal frequency of 10 Hz except for the PA material which was tested at 100 Hz.

The damage zone surrounding the fatigue crack was studied by sectioning test specimens at mid-thickness in a plane parallel to the specimen surface. The region of interest was mounted in 2.54-cm-diameter bakelite rings by casting an epoxy resin in the ring. Specimens were rough-ground through a series of wet papers, ending with 600 grit. Final polishing was accomplished using alumina powders in an aqueous slurry, starting with 1  $\mu$ m powder and ending with 0.3  $\mu$ m. A variety of etchants, both solvent and oxidizing, were used on the polymers with success and are listed below.

N66	2 parts glycerin 1 part HNO <sub>3</sub> 2 parts H <sub>2</sub> O etch at room temp. for 1 min.
PVDF	etch in acetone at room temp. for 30 min.
PA	7 parts HNO <sub>3</sub> 13 parts H <sub>2</sub> O etch for 15 min. at room temp.

The fatigue crack surfaces were studied using a Zeiss Axiomat optical microscope and an ETEC auto-scan scanning electron microscope (SEM). For the latter instrument, a 20-kV accelerating voltage was used for all investigations except those of PA, for which the voltage was limited to 5 kV to minimize beam damage to the fracture surface. Prior to SEM observation, specimens were prepared either by vacuum evaporation of gold and carbon or by sputter-coating from a gold-palladium target.

## Experimental Results and Discussion

### Metallographic Observations

During FCP testing of PVDF and N66 (water content > 0.8 wt%) a whitened region at the crack tip was observed. Since the occurrence of such stress-whitening signals a change in the structural morphology of the polymer, it was felt that this whitened region might be related to the plastic zone at the crack tip. In a subsequent experiment involving a specimen of PVDF, a fatigue crack was grown to a  $\Delta K$  level of  $5 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}$ , at which point the test was stopped and the crack tip region removed from the test plaque for observation (Figure 2).<sup>\*</sup> The whitened zone clearly extends on either side of the actual crack, as well as several millimeters in front of the crack tip. It is interesting that the shape of this whitened zone is planar, reminiscent of the Dugdale model for crack tip plasticity<sup>21</sup>. Previously, this model was found useful in characterizing the extent of cyclic-induced damage in amorphous polymers<sup>22,23</sup>. In this investigation, the extent of whitening in samples of PVDF was in reasonable agreement with the Dugdale zone length (5.1 mm) at this  $\Delta K$  level, as calculated from the following equation<sup>21</sup>:

$$R = \frac{\pi}{8} \left( \frac{K}{\sigma_{ys}} \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

where  $R$  = zone length

$K$  = maximum stress intensity factor

$\sigma_{ys}$  = material yield strength

We conclude, therefore, that the whitened area delineates the plastic zone region at the advancing crack tip. Similar observations were made in moisture-

---

<sup>\*</sup> Crack propagation direction is from left to right in all photographs unless marked otherwise.




Figure 2 Crack tip profile in PVDF (vertical arrow denotes crack tip). Horizontal arrow indicates crack growth direction. Scale bar = 1 mm

containing polyamides. For example, Figure 3 shows the mid-thickness profile for half of a fully fractured specimen of N66 containing 2.2% water. Again, a whitened zone flanks the fracture plane, verifying that the crack propagated through a damage zone at the crack tip.

Returning to Figure 2, a smaller, more intense whitened zone was visible within the diffuse region of whitening. It was noticed that after metallographic polishing this smaller zone had collapsed below the polishing plane, leaving a long dimple on the surface with the dimensions of the intense whitened zone. Since the polishing plane was located at mid-thickness of the test specimen, the observed dimpling is believed to reflect a relaxation of the through-thickness stress ( $\sigma_z$ ). Such sectioning and subsequent polishing of PVDF samples in aqueous slurries and etching in acetone was apparently sufficient to induce relaxation of this region, thereby causing the surface dimple.

On closer inspection, no distinct structure could be seen within the intense damage zone adjacent to the fracture surface. Within the more diffusely whitened region which surrounds the intense damage zone, thread-like markings (the dark wavy lines) were noticeable as shown in Figures 4 and 5 for the case of PVDF and N66. Note that the spherulitic microstructure remains visible (though damaged) in the diffuse whitened zone. We conclude that the diffuse whitened zone corresponds to the region where the spherulites are damaged though still basically intact. On the other hand, the intense whitened zone represents a region of severe damage, with the spherulitic structure having been broken down to an unrecognizable morphology.



Figure 3 Fracture profile for one-half of a fatigue specimen of N66 containing 2.2%  $H_2O$ . Note increasing depth of whitened region at high  $\Delta K$  levels. Scale bar = 2mm






Figure 4 Region of intense whitening at crack tip in PVDF associated with lack of structural detail. Note thread-like features which flank this zone. Scale bar = 0.1 mm.

(a)



(b)




Figure 5 Thread-like markings flanking the fracture surface in a) PVDF (Scale bar = 0.5 mm), b) N66 (2.2% H<sub>2</sub>O) (Scale bar = 40  $\mu$ m).

The nature and formation of these thread-like features deserves further comment. As mentioned above, the spherulite structure was undisturbed at large distances from the crack plane (i.e., outside the plastic zone). Somewhat closer to the crack plane, (i.e., in the diffuse whitened zone), small fissures parallel to the crack were visible (Figure 6a) and found to be both inter and trans-spherulitic in nature. In light of numerous reports of crazing in semi-crystalline polymers<sup>5,7-10,12</sup>, it seems likely that these fissures are, indeed, crazes. The evidence for both inter and trans-spherulitic crazing is also supported by published results<sup>2-5,10,12</sup>. Thus, it seems that cyclic loading initially induces local crazing in the weak interlamellar and interspherulitic regions.

Closer to the fracture surface, the crazes appeared to lengthen and coalesce (Figure 6b). It is interesting to note that the distance between these thread-like markings is roughly equal to the spherulite size in the polymer (15  $\mu\text{m}$  in PVDF). The crazes seemed to propagate without significant disruption of the spherulites themselves, other than the bisection of spherulites by trans-spherulitic crazing. Such deformation is in agreement with the model proposed by Kausch<sup>4</sup> and consistent with observations made of many semi-crystalline polymers<sup>1-12</sup> under tensile loading. However, a simple tensile load does not create the reverse plastic zone at the crack tip which exists during FCP testing (see Figure 1b). This distinction is crucial, because the spherulites at the crack tip undergo compressive yielding during cyclic loading. Hence, the spherulites within the reverse plastic zone may be alternately crushed during compression but then subjected to high tensile stresses during the tensile portion of the loading cycle.



(a)



(b)




Figure 6 Trans-spherulitic and interspherulitic fissures in PVDF a)  $\sim 1$  mm below fracture surface, b) immediately below fracture surface. Scale bar = 0.1 mm

Modification of the spherulite structure at the crack tip by this complex loading is believed to occur in the following manner. As described previously, initial cyclic damage occurs in tension by interspherulitic and trans-spherulitic craze formation. In this crazed spherulite structure, tensile strains are accommodated within the crazes themselves, leaving the spherulites undisturbed. However, compressive loads within the reversed plastic zone cannot be borne by the craze fibrils. Instead, they would be expected to collapse readily, thereby subjecting the spherulite to the crushing impact of the compressive portion of the loading cycle. As a result, the spherulites would be expected to flatten progressively in the crack propagation direction. Eventually remnants of the spherulites become so thin that the original structure no longer is discernible within the intense whitened zone (Figure 4). The compressive yielding and deformation of spherulites prior to fracture, therefore, has important implications with regard to the appearance of any remnants of the spherulite structure on the fracture surface itself, as will be discussed below. Finally, observation of the actual crack path (Figure 2) reveals that propagation does not occur through the middle of the reverse plastic zone. Rather, the fracture seems to jump randomly from the upper to lower interfaces between the reverse and monotonic plastic zones. The reason for this behavior is not clear.

#### The Model

The following deformation scenario is proposed for the cyclic loading of a semi-crystalline polymer containing a crack:

1. Fatigue damage begins as cyclic-induced crazing in interlamellar regions within spherulites and in interspherulitic regions. These crazes are on the order of the spherulite diameter in length, and the craze plane is perpendicular to the stress axis. Such damage occurs in the monotonic region of the plastic zone where only tensile stress and strains are encountered.

2. With increasing stresses and strains, the crazes begin to lengthen and eventually link together to form long, roughly parallel crazes which are much larger than the spherulite dimensions. The regions between the crazes consist of essentially undeformed spherulites, and tensile strains are accommodated within the crazes themselves.
3. Once inside the reverse plastic zone, the spherulite/craze structure is deformed by both tensile and compressive yielding. The spherulites are progressively crushed and smeared out, while tensile strains are still accommodated by the crazes. Eventually, the spherulite structure is completely destroyed by cyclic compression.
4. Finally, the fatigue crack passes through the heavily deformed region: apparently, though, the crack propagates near the lateral boundary between the reverse plastic zone and the monotonic plastic zone which contains the crazed spherulitic structure.

This deformation model is similar to those proposed by Andrews and Walker<sup>13</sup> and by Kausch<sup>4</sup>. However, these authors did not consider the fact that, during cyclic loading, the crack tip region experiences compressive yielding prior to fracture. The damage which is presumed to occur within the reverse plastic zone is seen to degrade the original spherulite structure in a manner not previously envisioned nor foreseeable from a consideration of only tensile deformation of spherulites.

#### Fractographic Observation

At high  $\Delta K$  levels ( $> 4 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}$ ) in PVDF and moisture-bearing N66 ( $> 0.8\% \text{ H}_2\text{O}$ ), the fracture surface was covered with long, ribbon-like features parallel to the crack growth direction (Figure 7). Interestingly,




Figure 7a Ribbon-like features parallel to crack growth direction in N66 (2.2% H<sub>2</sub>O). Arrows on micrograph indicate width of these ribbons, which are believed to be deformed spherulites.  
 $\Delta K = 5.7 \text{ MPa}\sqrt{\text{m}}$  Scale bar = 50  $\mu\text{m}$





Figure 7b Deformed spherulites (width marked by arrows) in PVDF. Scale bar = 0.1 mm

the width of these ribbons at their widest points was close to the bulk spherulite size (15  $\mu\text{m}$  in PVDF, 5-12  $\mu\text{m}$  in N66). This correspondence in dimension compares with the observations of White and Teh<sup>24,25</sup>, who found similar features in low density polyethylene; they concluded that these markings represented the original spherulites which had subsequently been drawn out in the direction of crack growth. The deformation model proposed in this paper predicts such spherulitic deformation by compression perpendicular to the crack plane and accounts for the elongation of the spherulites on the crack plane and in the crack growth direction. Under plane strain conditions, the through-thickness strain  $\epsilon_z$  (which would tend to cause spherulite elongation perpendicular to the crack growth direction) is zero. While true plane strain conditions did not exist in these test specimens at higher  $\Delta K$  levels, it is expected that deformation in the through-thickness direction was still restricted, such that compressive yielding of the spherulites would be expected to cause the spherulites to simply spread out parallel to the crack growth direction. It is concluded, therefore, that these fracture markings are indeed the remnants of spherulites which had been elongated by crack tip plastic deformation.

#### Additional Observations

It has been assumed in the previous discussions that the polymers under study possessed a sufficient capacity for plastic deformation within the spherulite structure so as to allow for gross changes in the spherulite morphology. In essence, this would imply that the polymer must be above or relatively near  $T_g$ , since it is unlikely that a semi-crystalline polymer with a  $T_g$  significantly above ambient test temperatures could accommodate such intense plastic deformation. Indeed, the glass temperature of PVDF is  $-35^\circ\text{C}$ <sup>26</sup>, which is consistent with the discussion above. Similarly,  $T_g$

for N66 decreases from 37°C at a moisture level of 2.2% (the lowest at which whitening and the microscopic evidence of crack tip deformation was seen) to -15°C at saturation<sup>27</sup>. It is also worth noting that the fatigue damage in LDPE reported by White and Teh<sup>24,25</sup> occurred in a polymer whose  $T_g$  is below -100°C<sup>28</sup>.

It is interesting to speculate on the condition where  $T_g$  is significantly above the temperature of the FCP test. The fracture behavior of dry N66 [ $T_g = 80^\circ\text{C}$ <sup>27</sup>] and PA [ $T_g = 150^\circ\text{C}$ <sup>29</sup>] indicates that the spherulite structure is not perturbed to a noticeable depth below the crack plane (Figure 8). Although the actual fracture path is not clear in Figure 8a because of the small size of the spherulites in N66 (~5  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter), it is obvious that the crack in PA (Figure 8b) propagated in a trans-spherulitic manner. Moreover, the fracture surfaces of both dry N66 and PA showed definite evidence of trans-spherulitic fracture<sup>20</sup>. This behavior represents a special case of the proposed deformation model in which the polymer structure is not able to support large plastic strains in the craze regions. In this instance, the short crazes presumably fracture prematurely, and the fatigue crack propagates by seeking out the local microcracks.

The assumption of cyclic-induced crazing in semi-crystalline polymers is seemingly at odds with the work of Bucknall and Stevens<sup>30</sup>. In an examination of the shape of hysteresis loops for rubber-modified styrene blends, these authors concluded that crazing will cause asymmetry in the loop, since there is no analogue to tensile craze deformation during the compressive half of the bad cycle. In light of the fact that the hysteresis loop for nylon is symmetric<sup>31</sup>, one might conclude that crazing does not occur in nylon and the proposed deformation model is incorrect. This line of reasoning, however, ignores the considerable evidence for crazing in semi-




Figure 8 Fracture profiles in a) N66 containing 0%  $H_2O$ ,  
b) PA (note evidence of trans-spherulitic fracture).  
Scale bar = 50  $\mu m$

crystalline polymers including nylon. Also, Bucknall and Stevens concluded that fatigue damage in ABS does not occur predominantly by crazing, since the hysteresis loop for this blend is symmetric. However, the occurrence of discontinuous fatigue crack growth in ABS<sup>32</sup> indicates that crazing does precede FCP in this blend. Similarly, polycarbonate exhibits a DG mechanism<sup>22,23</sup> although the hysteresis loop for this polymer is symmetric<sup>33</sup>.

Implicit in the above discussion was an assumption that stress-strain conditions in the unnotched and notched samples from the various investigations were directly comparable. Surely, this can not be the case; for example, the incidence of DGB formation itself varies with test conditions in a number of polymers. Therefore, inferences regarding the shape of the hysteresis loops from unnotched test samples can not, in themselves, provide definitive indications of the fatigue damage mechanisms in samples containing pre-existing flaws.

In closing, it should be noted that stress whitening during FCP tests does not automatically prove that significant plastic deformation has occurred at the crack tip. It is true that PVDF and the moisturized N66 samples which showed severe crack tip deformation exhibited stress-whitening; alternately, dry N66, which did not show any significant crack tip plasticity, did not stress-whiten. However, the fracture surfaces of all the PA specimens were stress-whitened noticeably despite the absence of any massive crack tip deformation. In this instance whitening most likely resulted from craze damage within and around the spherulites. Therefore, a determination of the extent of crack tip plasticity and the resultant processing of the semi-crystalline spherulite morphology must be made by microscopic examination of the fracture region, instead of relying on macroscopic fracture surface appearance alone.



### Conclusions

A cyclic damage mechanism is proposed to explain the crack tip deformation patterns observed in semi-crystalline polymers capable of extensive plasticity. Fatigue damage is believed to occur initially by inter and trans-spherulitic crazing. However, the cyclic compression which occurs in the near crack-tip regions crushes the spherulites and elongates them in the direction of crack growth. As a result, the structure of the polymer within this plastic zone (through which crack propagation occurs) may be quite different from the bulk spherulite morphology. When material plasticity is limited, spherulite breakdown does not take place and the fracture surface morphology reflects the underlying microstructure.

### Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.

### References

- 1 Bowden, P. D. and Young, R. J., J. Mater. Sci. 1974, 9, 2034.
- 2 Perkins, W. G. and Porter, R. S., J. Mater. Sci. 1977, 12, 2355.
- 3 Magill, J. H., 'Treatise on Materials Science and Technology' J. M. Schultz, ed. 1977, 10A.
- 4 Kausch, H. H. 'Polymer Fracture' Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- 5 Kambour, R. P., J. Polym. Sci.-Macromol. Reviews 1973, 7, 1.
- 6 Stein, R. S., J. Polym. Sci. 1966, C, 185.
- 7 Peterlin, A., Macromol. Chem. 1977, 8, 277.
- 8 Peterlin, A., Symposium on Polymer Science and Engineering, ed. K. D. Pae, Plenum, New York, 1972, 1.
- 9 Olf, H. G. and Peterlin, A., J. Polym. Sci.-Polym. Phys. Ed. 1974, 12, 2209.

- 10 van den Boogart, A., 'Physical Basis of Yield and Fracture'  
Inst. Phys. and Phys. Soc. 1966, 167.
- 11 Todo, A., Hashimoto, T. and Kawai, H., Polym. J. 1979, 11(1), 59.
- 12 Friedrich, K., Fracture 1977, ICF4, Waterloo 1977, 3, 1119.
- 13 Andrews, E. H. and Walker, B. J., Proc. R. Soc. London A 1971, 325, 57.
- 14 Hertzberg, R. W., 'Deformation and Fracture Mechanics of Engineering Materials,' John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1976.
- 15 Paris, P. C., 'Fatigue--An Interdisciplinary Approach,' Syracuse University Press, Syracuse 1964, 107.
- 16 Bretz, P. E., Hertzberg, R. W. and Manson, J. A., J. Mater. Sci. 1979, 14, 2482.
- 17 Bretz, P. E., Hertzberg, R. W., Manson, J. A. and Ramirez, A.,  
in Water in Polymers, ACS Symposium Series, No. 127, 1980, paper 32.
- 18 Bretz, Philip E., Hertzberg, Richard W. and Manson, John A., "The  
Influence of Absorbed Moisture on Fatigue Crack Propagation Behavior in  
Polyamides, Part A: Macroscopic Response," submitted to J. Mat. Sci. 1980.
- 19 Bretz, P. E., Ph.D. Dissertation, Lehigh University, 1980.
- 20 Bretz, P. E., Hertzberg, R. W. and Manson, J. A., manuscript in preparation.
- 21 Dugdale, D. S., J. Mech. Phys. Sol. 1960, 8, 100.
- 22 Skibo, M. D., Hertzberg, R. W., Manson, J. A. and Kim, S. L., J. Mater. Sci.  
1977, 12, 531.
- 23 Hertzberg, R. W., Skibo, M. D. and Manson, J. A., ASTM STP 675, 1979, 471.
- 24 Teh, J. W. and White, J. R., J. Polym. Sci.-Polym. Let. Ed., 1979, 17, 737.
- 25 White, J. R. and Teh, J. W., Polymer 1979, 20, 764.
- 26 McCrum, N. G., Read, B. F. and Williams, G., 'Anelastic and Dielectric  
Effects in Polymeric Solids,' John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1967.
- 27 Radon, J. C. and Culver, L. E., Polym. Engr. Sci. 1975, 15(7), 507.

- 28 Nielsen, L. E., 'Mechanical Properties of Polymers,' Reinhold, New York, 1963.
- 29 Kim, S. L., Janiszewski, J., Skibo, M. D., Manson, J. A. and Hertzberg, R. W.,  
Polym. Engr. Sci. 1979, 19(2), 145.
- 30 Bucknall, C. B. and Stevens, W. W., 'Toughening of Plastics,' The Plastics  
and Rubber Institute, London 1978, paper 24.
- 31 Tomkins, B. and Biggs, W. D., J. Mater. Sci. 1969, 4, 532.
- 32 Skibo, M. D., Janiszewski, J., Hertzberg, R. W. and Manson, J. A.,  
'Toughening of Plastics,' The Plastics and Rubber Institute, London 1978,  
paper 25.
- 33 Rabinowitz, S. and Beardmore, P., J. Mater. Sci. 1974, 9, 81.

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	2	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	1
ONR Branch Office Attn: Dr. George Sandoz 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1
ONR Area Office Attn: Scientific Dept. 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster, Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
ONR Western Regional Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
ONR Eastern/Central Regional Office Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	1	Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (RE&S) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Office of Naval Research Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360	1	Naval Ship Research and Development Center Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1
Dr. Fred Saalfeld Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GENNo.  
Copies

Dr. Rudolph J. Marcus  
Office of Naval Research  
Scientific Liaison Group  
American Embassy  
APO San Francisco 96503

1

Mr. James Kelley  
DTNSRDC Code 2803  
Annapolis, Maryland 21402

1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 356A

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Dr. Stephen H. Carr Department of Materials Science Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Picatinny Arsenal Attn: A. M. Anzalone, Building 3401 SMUPA-FR-M-D Dover, New Jersey 07801	1
Dr. M. Broadhurst Bulk Properties Section National Bureau of Standards U.S. Department of Commerce Washington, D.C. 20234	2	Dr. J. K. Gillham Department of Chemistry Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey 08540	1
Professor G. Whitesides Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Douglas Aircraft Co. Attn: Technical Library CI 290/36-84 AUTO-Sutton 3855 Lakewood Boulevard Long Beach, California 90846	1
Professor J. Wang Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah 84112	1	Dr. E. Baer Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1
Dr. V. Stannett Department of Chemical Engineering North Carolina State University Raleigh, North Carolina 27607	1	Dr. K. D. Pae Department of Mechanics and Materials Science Rutgers University New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903	1
Dr. D. R. Uhlmann Department of Metallurgy and Material Science Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	NASA-Lewis Research Center Attn: Dr. T. T. Serofini, MS-49-1 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135	1
Naval Surface Weapons Center Attn: Dr. J. M. Augl, Dr. B. Hartman White Oak Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	1	Dr. Charles H. Sherman Code TD 121 Naval Underwater Systems Center New London, Connecticut	1
Dr. G. Goodman Globe Union Incorporated 5757 North Green Bay Avenue Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201	1	Dr. William Risen Department of Chemistry Brown University Providence, Rhode Island 02192	1
Professor Hatsuo Ishida Department of Macromolecular Science Case-Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1	Dr. Alan Gent Department of Physics University of Akron Akron, Ohio 44304	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 356A

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Mr. Robert W. Jones Advanced Projects Manager Hughes Aircraft Company Mail Station D 132 Culver City, California 90230	1	Dr. T. J. Reinhart, Jr., Chief Composite and Fibrous Materials Branch Nonmetallic Materials Division Department of the Air Force Air Force Materials Laboratory (AFSC) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433	1
Dr. C. Giori IIT Research Institute 10 West 35 Street Chicago, Illinois 60616	1	Dr. J. Lando Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1
Dr. M. Litt Department of Macromolecular Science Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1	Dr. J. White Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering University of Tennessee Knoxville, Tennessee 37916	1
Dr. R. S. Roe Department of of Materials Science and Metallurgical Engineering University of Cincinnati Cincinnati, Ohio 45221	1	Dr. J. A. Manson Materials Research Center Lehigh University Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015	1
Dr. Robert E. Cohen Chemical Engineering Department Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Dr. R. F. Helmreich Contract RD&E Dow Chemical Co. Midland, Michigan 48640	1
Dr. T. P. Conlon, Jr., Code 3622 Sandia Laboratories Sandia Corporation Albuquerque, New Mexico	1	Dr. R. S. Porter Department of Polymer Science and Engineering University of Massachusetts Amherst, Massachusetts 01002	1
Dr. Martin Kaufmann, Head Materials Research Branch, Code 4542 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555	1	Professor Garth Wilkes Department of Chemical Engineering Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Blacksburg, Virginia 24061	1
Professor S. Senturia Department of Electrical Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Dr. Kurt Baum Fluorochem Inc. 6233 North Irwindale Avenue Azusa, California 91702	1
		Professor C. S. Paik Sung Department of Materials Sciences and Engineering Room 8-109 Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1

**DAT  
FILM**